

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,013	06/20/2003	Ashish Agrawal	249768071US	5759
25096 PERKINS COI	7590 03/28/2007 E.L.P	ı	EXAMINER	
PATENT-SEA	PATENT-SEA		AHLUWALIA, NAVNEET K	
P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2166	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/601,013	AGRAWAL ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Navneet K. Ahluwalia	2166		

	Navneet K. Ahluwalia	2166					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee lander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS		20 to to to b					
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:	·						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30 and 38-60</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	ot he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a I).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
			•				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments made to the claims raise news issues and requires further consideration.

HOSAIN ALAM
SUBERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER